

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,987	11/16/2001	Anker Steen Jorgensen	6263.200-US	3030
75	90 08/18/2003			
Reza Green, Esq., Novo Nordisk of North America, Inc.			EXAMINER	
			ZUCKER, PAUL A	
Suite 6400 405 Lexington Avenue			7.	
New York, NY				PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	
				\mathcal{F}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)		
		09/995,987	JORGENSEN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
		Paul A. Zucker	1621		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app r Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	rrespondenc address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	December to a manufaction (a) filed an				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□	, _	s action is non-final.	acception on to the movite in		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29,31,32 and 46-65</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29,31,32 and 46-65</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirem	nent.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/995,987

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-29, 31, 32 and 62-65, drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical composition thereof, classified, for example, in class 562, subclass 448.
- II. Claims 46-57 and 59-61, drawn to treatment and prevention of glucagons mediated disorders such as diabetes, classified, for example in class 514, subclass 563.
- III. Claim 58, drawn to methods of treating or preventing obesity, classified, for example in class 514, subclass 381.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. For example, insulin can be used to treat a glucagon-mediated disorder such as diabetes.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Page 3

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. For example, amphetamines can be used to treat obesity.

With regard to inventions II and III, there is no patentable co-action between inventions II and III. The search for each of the inventions II and III is not co-extensive with that required for the other. Prior art which anticipates or renders obvious one invention will not anticipate or render obvious the other. The search of both inventions I and II therefore would represent a burdensome search.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group s I-III is not required for any other member of Groups I-III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election Of Species

Applicant is further required to elect a single specie of the compound of formula (I). This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention as set forth in the examples on pages 39-61 of the specification.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

Application/Control Number: 09/995,987

Art Unit: 1621

finally held to be allowable. Method and composition claims will be examined for the elected species. Currently, all Claims 1 -26 are generic or sub-generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1621

A telephone call was made to Len Smith on 13 August 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 703-306-0512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Raul A. Zucker, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600